# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V., U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION,

Plaintiffs,

v.

YIFANG USA, INC. D/B/A E-FUN, INC.,

Defendant.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Intervenor-Plaintiff,

v.

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS N.V., U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION,

Intervenor-Defendants.

Case No.: 15-1131-GMS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiffs Koninklijke Philips N.V. and U.S. Philips Corporation (collectively, "Plaintiffs" or "Philips"), bring this Second Amended Complaint for patent infringement against Defendant YiFang USA, Inc. d/b/a E-Fun, Inc. (hereinafter, "Defendant" or "YiFang"), and hereby allege as follows:

#### **Nature of the Action**

1. This is an action for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, et seq., by Philips against YiFang for infringement of United States Patent Nos. RE 44,913 ("the '913 patent"),

6,690,387 ("the '387 patent"), 7,184,064 ("the '064 patent"), 7,529,806 ("the '806 patent"), 5,910,797 ("the '797 patent"), 6,522,695 ("the '695 patent"), RE 44,006 ("the '006 patent"), 6,772,114 ("the '114 patent"), and RE 43,564 ("the '564 patent") (collectively, the "patents-insuit").

#### **The Parties**

- 2. Plaintiff Koninklijke Philips N.V., formerly known as Koninklijke Philips
  Electronics N.V., is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the Netherlands.
  Its principal place of business is High Tech Campus 5, 5656 AE Eindhoven, the Netherlands.
- 3. Plaintiff U.S. Philips Corporation is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Delaware. Its principal place of business is 3000 Minuteman Road, Andover, Massachusetts, 01810.
- 4. Upon information and belief, YiFang is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of California, with its principal place of business located at 136 N. Grand Avenue #148, West Covina, CA 91791.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 5. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has, directly or through intermediaries, committed acts within Delaware giving rise to this action and/or has established minimum contacts with Delaware such that the exercise of jurisdiction would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice. Defendant has placed,

and is continuing to place, products which infringe one or more of the patents-in-suit into the stream of commerce, via an established distribution channel, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such products are sold in the State of Delaware, including in this District.

Upon information and belief, Defendant has derived substantial revenues from its infringing acts occurring within the State of Delaware and within this District.

- 7. Upon information and belief, Defendant is, and has been, engaged in the business of making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States tablet computers that include hardware and/or software containing functionality covered by one or more claims of the patents-in-suit.
- 8. Non-limiting examples of these tablet computers are the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Next2, Next3, Next5, Next6, Nextbook 10, Nextbook 10.1, Nextbook 8 QuadCore Windows 8.1 tablet, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A, Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook 7, Nextbook 8, Premium10SE, Premium7, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, Premium8SE, and Premium9, which, on information and belief, have been sold within this judicial District, without limitation, through the websites and retail locations of third parties.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant purposefully directs sales and offers for sale of these tablet computers, including but not limited to those specifically identified above, toward the state of Delaware, including this District.
  - 10. Upon information and belief, Defendant maintains established distribution

channels within the United States that permit Defendant to ship these tablet computers, including but not limited to those specifically identified above, to the state of Delaware, including this District, within a few days.

- 11. In addition, Defendant has actively induced and continues to actively induce infringement of one or more of the patents-in-suit within this District. Defendant has acted with the specific intent to induce infringement as, with knowledge of the patents-in-suit and knowledge that its products include functionality which, when used by others, directly infringes one or more of the patents-in-suit, Defendant has offered for sale and/or sold within this District, to others, including customers and other end users, products containing infringing functionality, and has provided instructions, user manuals, advertising, and/or marketing materials encouraging others to use the infringing functionality and thereby directly infringe one or more of the patents-in-suit.
- 12. In addition, Defendant has contributorily infringed and continues to contributorily infringe one or more of the patents-in-suit within this District. Defendant has, with knowledge of the patents-in-suit and knowledge that its products contain functionality which, when used by others, directly infringes one or more of the patents-in-suit, offered to sell, sold or imported into this District products including infringing functionality to others, including customers and other end users, who use the included infringing functionality to directly infringe at least one of the patents-in-suit. Defendant's products thus constitute a material part of the claimed invention of one or more of the patents-in-suit and Defendant knows that these products are especially made and/or especially adapted for use in infringing at least one of the patents-in-suit and are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use.

13. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400.

## **Philips' Patents-in-Suit**

- 14. On May 27, 2014, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. RE 44,913, entitled "Text entry method and device therefor," to inventor Matthew J. Bickerton. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '913 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 15. On February 10, 2004, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,690,387, entitled "Touch-screen image scrolling system and method," to inventors John Zimmerman and Jacquelyn Annette Martino. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '387 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 16. On February 27, 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,184,064, entitled "Touch-screen image scrolling system and method," to inventors John Zimmerman and Jacquelyn Annette Martino. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '064 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
- 17. On May 5, 2009, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 7,529,806, entitled "Partitioning of MP3 content file for emulating streaming," to inventor Yevgeniy Eugene Shteyn. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '806 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- 18. On June 8, 1999, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 5,910,797, entitled "Portable data processing apparatus provided with a

screen and a gravitation-controlled sensor for screen orientation," to inventor Leonardus G.M. Beuk. U.S. Philips Corporation is the assignee and owner of the '797 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

- 19. On February 18, 2003, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,522,695, entitled "Transmitting device for transmitting a digital information signal alternately in encoded form and non-encoded form," to inventors Alphons A.M.L. Bruekers, Johannes M.M. Verbakel, and Marcel S.E. Van Nieuwenhoven. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '695 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.
- 20. On February 19, 2013, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. RE 44,006, entitled "User interface for television," to inventors Lisa Cherian, Robert Andrew Lambourne, and Guy James Roberts. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '006 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 7.
- 21. On August 3, 2004, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. 6,772,114, entitled "High frequency and low frequency audio signal encoding and decoding system," to inventors Robert Johannes Sluijter, Andreas Johannes Gerrits, Rakesh Taori, and Samir Chennoukh. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '114 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 8.
- 22. On August 7, 2012, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued United States Patent No. RE 43,564, entitled "Hand-held with auto-zoom for graphical display of web page," to inventor Jan Van Ee. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the assignee and owner of the '564 patent, a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 9.

## **Factual Background**

23. Philips is a world-renowned company that expends enormous efforts and resources to advance research and development in various technological fields. One of those fields is applied electronics, in which Philips has conducted groundbreaking research relating to graphical user interfaces, electronic displays of information, touch screen interfaces, the control of content delivery between multiple devices, and audio/video encoding and decoding, among other things. The patents-in-suit stem from this work and claim protection for interactive systems for which users can control apparatuses, displays, and content in an intuitive manner. These intuitive mechanisms are used in present-day smartphones and tablet computers and other electronic devices.

## Notice to Defendant

Upon information and belief, Defendant is well-aware of Plaintiffs' Touch-

- Enabled Devices patent portfolio (see, e.g., http://www.ip.philips.com/licensing/program/111/touch-enabled-devices) relating to Defendant's tablet computers.
- 25. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '913 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '913 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '913 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '387 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of

24.

the '387 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '387 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.

- 27. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '064 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '064 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '064 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.
- 28. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '806 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '806 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '806 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.
- 29. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '797 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '797 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '797 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.
- 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '695 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '695 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '695 patent upon the filing and

service of the original Complaint in this action.

- 31. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '006 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '006 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '006 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.
- 32. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '114 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '114 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '114 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.
- 33. Upon information and belief, Defendant has had knowledge of the '564 patent in advance of the filing of the original Complaint. YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '564 patent at least upon receiving a letter from Philips dated September 24, 2014. At the very latest, YiFang was given notice of its infringement of the '564 patent upon the filing and service of the original Complaint in this action.

#### First Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE 44,913

- 34. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 35. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '913 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements

thereof.

- 36. Claim 1 of the '913 patent is illustrative of the method claims of the '913 patent and is directed toward a method for inputting a character to a device including a keypad, which includes a plurality of keys. At least one of the keys has a primary character, a plurality of secondary characters, and an associated display area. In a default state, the keypad displays the primary character associated with the at least one key in the associated display area. In the default state, the primary character is returned as an input character in response to selection of the at least one key for a period shorter than a predetermined time period. A second state is switched to after a first key selection of the at least one key is detected for a period longer than the predetermined time period. In the second state, each of the secondary characters associated with the first selected key is displayed in a respective display area, a second key selection is detected, the secondary character associated with the second key selection is selected for the input character, and the keypad is returned to the default state.
- 37. Claim 4 of the '913 patent is illustrative of the device claims of the '913 patent and is directed toward a device for receiving character input having a keypad having a plurality of keys. At least one of the keys has a primary character, a plurality of secondary characters, and an associated display area. The device has means for displaying, in a default state, the primary character associated with the at least one key in the associated display area. The device has means for returning, in a default state, the primary character as an input character in response to selection of the at least one key for a period shorter than a predetermined time period and means for switching to a second state responsive to a first key selection of the at least one key for a period longer than the predetermined time period. The device has means for displaying, in the

second state, each of the secondary characters associated with the selected key in a respective display area, means responsive to a second key selection for selecting as the input character the secondary character associated with the second key selection, and means for returning the keypad to the default state.

- 38. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '913 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using in the United States, without authority, tablet computers to practice, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '913 patent.
- 39. Defendant has directly infringed and continue to directly infringe the '913 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claim 4 of the '913 patent.
- 40. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has keypad entry functionality similar to that summarized in the next paragraph ("the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality") that meets every element of at least claim 4 of the '913 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and which results in the practice of every step of at least claim 1 of the '913 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, when operated by Defendant or other end users.
- 41. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a keypad having a plurality of keys. At least one key (e.g., the "a" key) has a primary character (e.g., the "a" character), a plurality of secondary characters (e.g., characters such as "à" and "á"), and an associated display area (e.g., a portion of

the screen associated with the "a" key). In a default state, the keypad displays the primary character associated with the at least one key in the associated display area (e.g., the "a" character is displayed in the portion of the screen associated with the "a" key). In the default state, the primary character is returned as an input character (e.g., the "a" character is returned as the input character) in response to selection of the at least one key for a period shorter than a predetermined time period (e.g., the "a" key is pressed and not held). A second state is switched to after a first key selection of the at least one key is detected for a period longer than the predetermined time period (e.g., the "a" key is pressed and held). In the second state, each of the secondary characters associated with the first selected key is displayed in a respective display area (e.g., each of the characters such as "à" and "á" that are associated with the selected key, the "a" key, is displayed in a respective portion of the screen), a second key selection is detected (e.g., the selection of the key associated with the "á" character is detected), the secondary character associated with the second key selection is selected for the input character (e.g., the "a" character is selected as the input character), and the keypad is returned to the default state (e.g., the keypad returns to display of the "a" character).

42. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 8 or higher; see, e.g.,

https://blogs.msdn.microsoft.com/b8/2012/07/17/designing-the-windows-8-touch-keyboard/) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Next2, Next3, Next5, Next6,

Nextbook 10, Nextbook 10.1, Nextbook 8 QuadCore Windows 8.1 tablet, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A, Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Premium Flexible Fremium Flexible Fremium Flexible Flexy Fremium Flexible Flexy Flexible Flexy Flexible Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 1

43. Defendant has also actively induced, and continues to actively induce infringement of the '913 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Upon information and belief, Defendant's customers and other end users actually use the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality in the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices to practice each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '913 patent and thereby directly infringe. Defendant has had knowledge of the '913 patent since at least September 24, 2014 when it was provided with actual notice of the patent, as explained above. Defendant has also had knowledge that use of the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality directly infringes the '913 patent by being given actual notice of the patent on September 24, 2014 and by service of the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and Initial Infringement Contentions in this action. Defendant, both prior and subsequent to the foregoing events, has acted and continued to act with the specific intent to induce infringement as, with knowledge of the '913 patent and knowledge that use of the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality directly infringes the '913 patent, Defendant has offered for sale and/or sold to others, including customers and other end users, the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices that include the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows

Operating System version 8 or higher) and/or software updates that include the '913 Accused

Keypad Entry Functionality, and has provided to others instructions, user manuals, advertising,

and/or marketing materials encouraging them to use the '913 Accused Keypad Entry

Functionality and directly infringe the '913 patent.

- System software updates (see, e.g., http://www.nextbookusa.com/download.php selecting
  Firmware Updates from the Updates section) which facilitate, direct, or encourage others,
  including customers and other end users, to use the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality
  and thereby directly infringe at least the method of claim 1 of the '913 patent. Defendant has
  knowledge that these software updates and instructions encourage and facilitate the direct
  infringement of the '913 patent by others, including customers and other end users, as Defendant
  has had knowledge of the '913 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above and
  is aware that these software updates support the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality (see,
  e.g., https://developer.android.com/about/versions/android-2.3-highlights.html describing new
  features in Android Operating System v2.3: "From certain keys, users can also access a popup
  menu of accented characters, numbers, and symbols by holding the key and sliding to select a
  character.").
- 45. Defendant has also contributorily infringed, and continues to contributorily infringe, the '913 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). As explained above, Defendant's customers and other end users actually use the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality in the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices to practice each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the

'913 patent and thereby directly infringe. Further, as explained above, Defendant has had knowledge of the'913 patent since at least September 24, 2014 and has also had knowledge that use of the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality necessarily directly infringes the '913 patent as of this date. Defendant has offered for sale, sold, and/or imported the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices that include the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 8 or higher) and/or software updates that include the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality to others, including customers and other end users, who use the included '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality to directly infringe the '913 patent. Thus, the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices and software updates for these devices constitute a material part of the '913 patent.

Accused Keypad Entry Functionality necessarily directly infringes the '913 patent. Further, the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality cannot be practiced without infringing the '913 patent and has no use other than infringing the '913 patent. Upon information and belief, for the reasons above, Defendant knows that the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices and software updates for these devices are especially made and/or especially adapted for use in infringing the '913 patent, at least because they include software code that was designed to practice the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality when executed, which infringes the '913 patent.

Moreover, the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Devices and software updates for these devices are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, at least because the included, above-referenced software code that practices the '913 Accused Keypad Entry

Functionality has no use apart from infringing the '913 patent. Further, the included, above-referenced software code that practices the '913 Accused Keypad Entry Functionality is distinct and separate from the rest of the software code in the operating system and, when executed, only practices, and can only be used to practice, each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '913 patent.

- 47. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 48. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '913 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '913 patent directly or indirectly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '913 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional

under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

## Second Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,690,387

- 49. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 50. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '387 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.
- 51. Claim 9 of the '387 patent is illustrative of the method claims of the '387 patent and is directed toward a method for controlling the scroll-like display of data on an electronic display screen. The duration of finger touch contact time with an electronic display screen having scrollable data displayed on it is sensed. The speed and direction of motion of the finger touch contact with the display screen is sensed. A scrolling motion of the scrollable data on the display screen is initiated in the sensed direction and at the sensed speed. The speed of the scrolling motion is slowed from its initiated speed at a predetermined rate. The scrolling motion is terminated when a condition of a substantially stationary finger touch having a finite duration is sensed or a condition of an end-of-scroll signal is sensed.
- 52. Claim 11 of the '387 patent depends from claim 9 and is directed toward the method of claim 9 comprising the further step of sensing a finger touch on the screen having a duration greater than a first given preset minimum time and less than a second given preset minimum time which is greater than the first given time and then moving the display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch.

- 53. Claim 12 of the '387 patent depends from claim 9 and is directed toward the method of claim 9 comprising the further step of sensing a stationary finger touch on the screen having a duration greater than a second preset given minimum time which is greater than a first given preset time and then moving a touch-selected item relative to the stationary display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch.
- 54. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '387 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using in the United States, without authority, tablet computers to practice, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each step of at least the methods of claims 9, 11, and 12 of the '387 patent.
- 55. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has scrolling control functionality similar to that summarized in the next three paragraphs ("the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality") which results in the practice of every step of at least claims 9, 11, and 12 of the '387 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, when operated by Defendant or other end users.
- 56. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a touch screen and controls the scroll-like display of data on the touch screen. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that sense the duration of finger touch contact time with the touch screen having scrollable data (e.g., website content in an Internet browser or application icons in a folder) displayed on it. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that sense the speed and direction of the finger touch contact with the touch screen. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that initiate a scrolling motion of the scrollable data in the sensed direction and at the sensed speed (e.g., when a finger touching the screen is sensed as moving up the screen at a fast

rate the website content or application icons in a folder are scrolled up the screen quickly or, as another example, when a finger touching the screen is sensed as moving down the screen at a slow rate the website content or application icons in a folder are scrolled down the screen slowly). The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that slow the speed of the scrolling motion from its initiated speed at a predetermined rate (e.g., the speed of the scrolling motion is slowed at a predetermined rate until motion is stopped). The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that terminate the scrolling motion when a condition of a substantially stationary finger touch having a finite duration is sensed (e.g., the scrolling motion is terminated if the finger touch is sensed as being substantially stationary for a finite duration) or a condition of an end-of-scroll signal is sensed (e.g., the scrolling motion is terminated if the bottom of the website content or application icons in a folder was reached).

- 57. Further, the YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that sense a finger touch on the screen having a duration greater than a first given preset minimum time (e.g., a finger touch on the screen is sensed as having a duration greater than the minimum duration for a "short press" touch) and less than a second given preset minimum time which is greater than the first given time (e.g., the sensed duration is less than the minimum duration for a "long press" touch, which is longer than the "short press" touch duration) and then moving the display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch (e.g., the display is scrolled in a corresponding manner to the finger's movement when the finger touch on the screen is sensed as being longer than a "short press" touch but not long enough to be a "long press" touch).
- 58. Further, the YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that sense a stationary finger touch on the screen having a duration greater than a second preset given

minimum time (e.g., a stationary finger touch on the screen is sensed as having a duration greater than the minimum duration for a "long press" touch) which is greater than a first given preset time (e.g., the duration of a "long press" touch is longer than the duration for a "short press" touch) and then moving a touch-selected item (e.g., an application icon that has been touched and selected by the "long press" touch) relative to the stationary display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch (e.g., the application icon is moved along the stationary display in a corresponding manner to the finger's movement).

59. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 7 or higher; see, e.g.,

https://blogs.msdn.microsoft.com/e7/2009/03/25/touching-windows-7/ and

https://www.microsoft.com/surface/en-us/support/touch-mouse-and-search/using-touch-gestures-tap-swipe-and-beyond?os=windows-8.1-update-1&=undefined) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Next2, Next3, Next5, Next6, Nextbook 10, Nextbook 10.1, Nextbook 8 QuadCore Windows 8.1 tablet, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A,

Nextbook8, Premium10SE, Premium7, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, Premium8SE, and Premium9 ("the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices") and/or software updates that include

Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook 7,

the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality.

- 60. Defendant has also actively induced, and continues to actively induce infringement of the '387 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Upon information and belief, Defendant's customers and other end users actually use the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality in the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices to practice each step of at least the method of claims 9, 11, and 12 of the '387 patent and thereby directly infringe. Defendant has had knowledge of the '387 patent since at least September 24, 2014 when it was provided with actual notice of the patent, as explained above. Defendant has also had knowledge that use of the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality directly infringes the '387 patent by being given actual notice of the patent on September 24, 2014 and by service of the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and Initial Infringement Contentions in this action. Defendant, both prior and subsequent to the foregoing events, has acted and continued to act with the specific intent to induce infringement as, with knowledge of the '387 patent and knowledge that use of the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality directly infringes the '387 patent, Defendant has offered for sale and/or sold to others, including customers and other end users, the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices that include the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 7 or higher) and/or software updates that include the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality, and has provided to others instructions, user manuals, advertising, and/or marketing materials encouraging them to use the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality and directly infringe the '387 patent.
  - 61. As one example, Defendant provides user manuals (see, e.g.,

http://nextbookusa.com/pdfs/NX785QC8G-Manual.pdf) which facilitate, direct, or encourage others, including customers and other end users, to use the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality and thereby directly infringe at least the methods of claims 9, 11, and 12 of the '387 patent. For example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 user manual includes at least the following instructions that direct a user to practice the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality on page 8: "To move a Home Screen item, hold your finger onto it to highlight it, then drag it to the desired location, and release it."; and on page 10: "You can drag your finger up and down on the file list to scroll through it." Defendant has knowledge that the instructions in this user manual encourage and facilitate the direct infringement of the '387 patent by others, including customers and other end users, as Defendant has had knowledge of the '387 patent and that use of the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality directly infringes the '387 patent since at least September 24, 2014, as explained above.

62. Defendant has also contributorily infringed, and continues to contributorily infringe, the '387 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). As explained above, Defendant's customers and other end users actually use the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality in the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices to practice each step of at least the methods of claims 9, 11, and 12 of the '387 patent and thereby directly infringe. Further, as explained above, Defendant has had knowledge of the '387 patent since at least September 24, 2014 and has also had knowledge that use of the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality necessarily directly infringes the '387 patent as of this date. Defendant has offered for sale, sold, and/or imported the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices that include the '387 Scrolling Control Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or

higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 7 or higher) and/or software updates that include the '387 Scrolling Control Functionality to others, including customers and other end users, who use the included '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality to directly infringe the '387 patent. Thus, the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices and software updates for these devices constitute a material part of the '387 patent.

- Defendant has knowledge of the '387 patent and knowledge that use of the '387 63. Accused Scrolling Control Functionality necessarily directly infringes the '387 patent. Further, the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality cannot be practiced without infringing the '387 patent and has no use other than infringing the '387 patent. Upon information and belief, for the reasons above, Defendant knows that the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices and software updates for these devices are especially made and/or especially adapted for use in infringing the '387 patent, at least because they include software code that was designed to practice the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality when executed, which infringes the '387 patent. Moreover, the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Devices and software updates for these devices are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, at least because the included, above-referenced software code that practices the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality has no use apart from infringing the '387 patent. Further, the included, abovereferenced software code that practices the '387 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality is distinct and separate from the rest of the software code in the operating system and, when executed, only practices, and can only be used to practice, each step of at least the methods of claims 9, 11, and 12 of the '387 patent.
  - 64. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will

continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

65. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '387 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '387 patent directly or indirectly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '387 patent e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### Third Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,184,064

- 66. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
  - 67. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in

and to the '064 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.

- 68. Claim 1 of the '064 patent is illustrative of the system claims of the '064 patent and is directed to an improved touch-screen image scrolling system. The system has an electronic image display screen and a microprocessor coupled to the screen to display information on the screen and to receive interactive signals from the screen. The system has timer means associated with the microprocessor to provide timing capacity for it. The system has a source of scroll format data that is capable of being displayed on the screen. The system has finger touch program instructions associated with the microprocessor to sense the speed, direction, and time duration of a finger touch contact with the screen. The system has scrolling motion program instructions associated with the microprocessor that is responsive to the duration of the finger touch contact such that if the duration exceeds a first preset minimum time and is accompanied by motion along the surface of the screen followed by separation of the finger from the screen, a scroll format display on the screen is caused to begin to scroll in the sensed direction and at the sensed initial speed. The system has time decay program instructions associated with the microprocessor to reduce the rate of scrolling displacement on the screen at a given rate until motion is terminated. The system has stopping motion program instructions associated with the microprocessor to terminate the scrolling displacement of the image on the screen upon first occurrence of a signal comprising a substantially stationary finger touch on the screen for longer than a preset minimum or an end-of scroll signal received from the scroll format data source.
  - 69. Claim 2 of the '064 patent depends from claim 1 and is directed toward the

system of claim 1 wherein the scrolling motion program instructions further comprise instructions to move the display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch, in response to movement following a touch having a stationary duration greater than the first preset given minimum time and less than a second given preset minimum time.

- 70. Claim 3 of the '064 patent depends from claim 1 and is directed toward the system of claim 1 wherein scrolling motion program instructions further comprise instructions to move a touch-selected item relative to the stationary display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch, in response to motion following a touch having a stationary duration greater than a second given preset minimum time.
- 71. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '064 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claims 1, 2, and 3 of the '064 patent.
- 72. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has scrolling control functionality similar to that summarized in the next three paragraphs ("the '064 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality") that meets every element of at least claims 1, 2, and 3 of the '064 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 73. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a touch screen and a microprocessor (e.g., the ARM Cortex A9) which displays information on the screen and receives interactive signals from the screen. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that provide timing capacity

for the microprocessor. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains hardware and/or software that are a source of scroll format data (e.g., a memory holding scrollable data such as website content in an Internet browser or application icons in a folder) that can be displayed on the screen. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains software program instructions associated with the microprocessor that sense the speed, direction, and time duration of a finger touch contact with the screen. The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains software program instructions associated with the microprocessor that is responsive to the duration of the finger touch contact such that if the duration exceeds a first preset minimum time (e.g., the duration of a finger touching the screen is sensed as being greater than the minimum duration for a "short press" touch) and is accompanied by motion along the surface of the screen followed by separation of the finger from the screen, a scroll format display on the screen is caused to begin to scroll in the sensed direction and at the sensed initial speed (e.g., when a finger touching the screen is sensed as moving up the screen at a fast rate the website content or application icons in a folder are scrolled up the screen quickly or, as another example, when a finger touching the screen is sensed as moving down the screen at a slow rate the website content or application icons in a folder are scrolled down the screen slowly). The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains software program instructions associated with the microprocessor that reduce the rate of scrolling displacement on the screen at a given rate until motion is terminated (e.g., the scrolling displacement is slowed at a given rate until motion is stopped). The YiFang Nextbook 8 contains software program instructions associated with the microprocessor that terminate the scrolling displacement of the image on the screen upon first occurrence of a signal comprising a substantially stationary finger touch on the screen for longer than a preset minimum (e.g., the scrolling displacement of the image on the screen is terminated

if the finger touch is sensed as being substantially stationary for longer than a preset minimum) or an end-of-scroll signal is received from the scroll format data source (e.g., the scrolling displacement of the image on the screen is terminated if the bottom of the website content or application icons in a folder was reached).

- 74. Further, the YiFang Nextbook 8 contains scrolling motion program instructions to move the display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch (e.g., the display is scrolled in a corresponding manner to the finger's movement when the finger touching the screen is sensed as being longer than a "short press" touch but not long enough to be a "long press" touch as described below), in response to movement following a touch having a stationary duration greater than the first preset given minimum time (e.g., the stationary duration of a finger touching the screen is sensed as being greater than the minimum duration for a "short press" touch) and less than a second given preset minimum time (e.g., the sensed duration is less than the minimum duration for a "long press" touch).
- 75. Further, the YiFang Nextbook 8 contains scrolling motion program instructions to move a touch-selected item (e.g., an application icon that has been touched and selected by the "long press" touch as described below) relative to the stationary display in correspondence with movement of the finger touch (e.g., the application icon is moved along the stationary display in a corresponding manner to the finger's movement), in response to motion following a touch having a stationary duration greater than a second given preset minimum time (e.g., the sensed stationary duration is greater than the minimum duration for a "long press" touch).
- 76. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that

include the above-referenced '064 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 7 or higher; see, e.g.,

https://blogs.msdn.microsoft.com/e7/2009/03/25/touching-windows-7/ and

https://www.microsoft.com/surface/en-us/support/touch-mouse-and-search/using-touch-gestures-tap-swipe-and-beyond?os=windows-8.1-update-1&=undefined) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Next2, Next3, Next5, Next6, Nextbook 10, Nextbook 10.1, Nextbook 8 QuadCore Windows 8.1 tablet, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A, Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook7, Nextbook8, Premium10SE, Premium7, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, Premium8SE, and Premium9 ("the '064 Accused Scrolling Control Devices") and/or software updates that include the '064 Accused Scrolling Control Functionality.

- 77. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 78. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '064 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '064 patent directly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing,

advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '064 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

## Fourth Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,529,806

- 79. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 80. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '806 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.
- 81. Claim 1 of the '806 patent is illustrative of the method claims of the '806 patent and is directed toward a method of forming a media presentation at a client device from multiple related files, including a control information file, stored on one or more server computers within a computer network. The control information file is downloaded to the client device. The client device parses the control information file and based thereon, the client device: identifies multiple

alternative files corresponding to a given segment of the media presentation, determines which file of the multiple alternative files to retrieve based on system restraints, and retrieves the determined file to begin a media presentation. If the determined file is one of a plurality of files required for the media presentation, the client device retrieves a next file concurrent with the media presentation and uses content of the next file to continue the media presentation.

- 82. Claim 12 of the '806 patent is illustrative of the device claims of the '806 patent and is directed toward a client device for forming a media presentation from multiple related files stored on server computers within a computer network. The client device has means for downloading files to the client device. The client device has means for parsing a control information file and based thereon identifying multiple alternative files corresponding to a given segment of the media presentation, determining which file of the alternative files to retrieve based on system restraints, and retrieving the determined file to begin a media presentation. If the determined file is one of a plurality of files required for the media presentation, the means for parsing comprises means for retrieving a next file concurrent with the media presentation and using content of the next file to continue the media presentation.
- 83. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '806 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by using in the United States, without authority, tablet computers to practice, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '806 patent.
- 84. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '806 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet

computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claim 12 of the '806 patent.

- 85. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has streaming video functionality similar to that summarized in the next paragraph ("the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality") that meets every element of at least claim 12 of the '806 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, and which results in the practice of every step of at least claim 1 of the '806 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, when operated by Defendant or other end users.
- 86. The YiFang Nextbook 8 runs the Android Operating System and ran Android Operating System version 4.4 when it was released (see, e.g.,

http://www.nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=19). Since Android Operating System version 3.0, the Android Operating System has supported HTTP Live Streaming (HLS) (see, e.g., http://developer.android.com/about/versions/android-3.0-highlights.html). Since Android Operating System version 4.4, the Android Operating System has supported MPEG-DASH (Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP) (see, e.g.,

http://developer.android.com/about/versions/kitkat.html). HLS and MPEG-DASH are video streaming technologies that allow a device, such as the YiFang Nextbook 8, to form a media presentation from multiple related files stored on server computers in a computer network by use of the YiFang Nextbook 8's Internet browser and/or a video playback application such as YouTube. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a wireless modem and related software for downloading a control information file (e.g., a MPD file for MPEG-DASH or a M3U8 file for HLS) to the YiFang Nextbook 8. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a processor (e.g., the ARM Cortex A9)

programmed to parse the control information file (e.g., the processor parses the MPD file or M3U8 file) and based thereon, identifying multiple alternative files corresponding to a given segment of the media presentation (e.g., identifying based on the MPD file or M3U8 file alternative files that correspond to a video segment; for example, a video is encoded into higher quality and lower quality streams and each stream is divided into chunks, such as MPEG-2 transport stream files, and higher quality and lower quality files that correspond to a given video segment are identified based on the MPD file or M3U8 file), determining which file of the alternative files to retrieve based on system restraints (e.g., determining that a lower quality file should be retrieved when bandwidth is more limited or a higher quality file should be retrieved when bandwidth is less limited), and retrieving the determined file to begin a media presentation. If the determined file is one of a plurality of files required for the media presentation, the processor retrieves a next file concurrent with the media presentation and uses content of the next file to continue the media presentation.

87. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 3.0 or higher that supports HLS, the Android Operating System version 4.4 or higher that supports MPEG-DASH, the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 8.1 or higher that supports MPEG-DASH, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 10 or higher that supports HLS; see, e.g.,

announcing-support-for-hls-and-dash-in-windows-10/ and

https://blogs.msdn.microsoft.com/ie/2013/09/05/online-professional-quality-video-premium-media-experiences-without-plug-ins-in-internet-explorer-11/) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Nextbook 10, Nextbook 10.1, Nextbook 8 QuadCore Windows 8.1 tablet, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A, Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook7, Nextbook8, Premium10SE, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, and Premium8SE ("the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices") and/or software updates that include the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality.

infringement of the '806 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b). Upon information and belief, Defendant's customers and other end users actually use the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality in the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices to practice each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '806 patent and thereby directly infringe. Defendant has had knowledge of the '806 patent since at least September 24, 2014 when it was provided with actual notice of the patent, as explained above. Defendant has also had knowledge that use of the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality directly infringes the '806 patent by being given actual notice of the patent on September 24, 2014 and by service of the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and Initial Infringement Contentions in this action. Defendant, both prior and subsequent to the foregoing events, has acted and continued to act with the specific intent to induce infringement as, with knowledge of the '806 patent and knowledge that use of the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality directly infringes the '806 patent, Defendant has offered

for sale and/or sold to others, including customers and other end users, the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices that include the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 3.0 or higher that supports HLS, the Android Operating System version 4.4 or higher that supports MPEG-DASH, the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 8.1 or higher that supports MPEG-DASH, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 10 or higher that supports HLS) and/or software updates that include the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality, and has provided to others instructions, user manuals, advertising, and/or marketing materials encouraging them to use the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality and directly infringe the '806 patent.

System software updates (see, e.g., http://www.nextbookusa.com/download.php selecting
Firmware Updates from the Updates section) which facilitate, direct, or encourage others,
including customers and other end users, to use the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality
and thereby directly infringe at least the method of claim 1 of the '806 patent. Defendant has
knowledge that these software updates and instructions encourage and facilitate the direct
infringement of the '806 patent by others, including customers and other end users, as Defendant
has had knowledge of the '806 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above and
is aware that these software updates support MPEG-DASH and/or HLS (see, e.g.,
http://developer.android.com/about/versions/android-3.0-highlights.html describing new features
in Android Operating System v3.0: "Applications can now pass an M3U playlist URL to the
media framework to begin an HTTP Live streaming session. The media framework supports
most of the HTTP Live streaming specification, including adaptive bit rate." and

http://developer.android.com/about/versions/kitkat.html describing new features in Android Operating System v4.4: "Android now supports the Common Encryption (CENC) for MPEG-DASH, providing a standard, multiplatform DRM scheme for managing protecting content. Apps can take advantage of CENC through Android's modular DRM framework and platform APIs for supporting DASH.").

90. Defendant has also contributorily infringed, and continues to contributorily infringe, the '806 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c). As explained above, Defendant's customers and other end users actually use the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality in the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices to practice each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '806 patent and thereby directly infringe. Further, as explained above, Defendant has had knowledge of the 806 patent since at least September 24, 2014 and has also had knowledge that use of the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality necessarily directly infringes the '806 patent as of this date. Defendant has offered for sale, sold, and/or imported the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices that include the '806 Streaming Video Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 3.0 or higher that supports HLS, the Android Operating System version 4.4 or higher that supports MPEG-DASH, the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 8.1 or higher that supports MPEG-DASH, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 10 or higher that supports HLS) and/or software updates that include the '806 Streaming Video Functionality to others, including customers and other end users, who use the included '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality to directly infringe the '806 patent. Thus, the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices and software updates for these devices constitute a material part of the '806 patent.

- 91. Defendant has knowledge of the '806 patent and knowledge that use of the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality necessarily directly infringes the '806 patent. Further, the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality cannot be practiced without infringing the '806 patent and has no use other than infringing the '806 patent. Upon information and belief, for the reasons above, Defendant knows that the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices and software updates for these devices are especially made and/or especially adapted for use in infringing the '806 patent, at least because they include software code that was designed to practice the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality when executed, which infringes the '806 patent. Moreover, the '806 Accused Streaming Video Devices and software updates for these devices are not staple articles of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use, at least because the included, above-referenced software code that practices the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality has no use apart from infringing the '806 patent. Further, the included, abovereferenced software code that practices the '806 Accused Streaming Video Functionality is distinct and separate from the rest of the software code in the operating system and, when executed, only practices, and can only be used to practice, each step of at least the method of claim 1 of the '806 patent.
- 92. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 93. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '806 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '806 patent directly or indirectly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the

Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions.

Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '806 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

## Fifth Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,910,797

- 94. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 95. U.S. Philips Corporation is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '797 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.
- 96. Claim 6 of the '797 patent is illustrative of the apparatus claims of the '797 patent and is directed to a manipulatable apparatus that has data processing means and screen means for displaying one or more graphical or other objects presented by the data processing means. The

apparatus has a gravitation-controlled sensor integrated with the screen means and feeding the data processing means for measuring an acceleration of the screen means that is induced by user manipulation of the screen means. The data processing means have programmed calculating means for, under control of a screen motion sensed by the sensing means, imparting an acceleration based motion pattern to a predetermined selection among the objects. The motion is nonuniform in time under control of a static orientation of the screen means.

- 97. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '797 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claim 6 of the '797 patent.
- 98. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has gravitation-controlled motion functionality similar to that summarized in the next paragraph ("the '797 Accused Gravitation-Controlled Motion Functionality") that meets every element of at least claim 6 of the '797 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 99. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a processor (e.g., the ARM Cortex A9) and a display screen for displaying one or more graphical or other objects (e.g., a virtual keyboard) presented by the processor. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has a gravitation controlled sensor (e.g., an accelerometer) that is integrated with the display screen and feeds the processor and measures the acceleration of the screen that is induced by a user manipulating the display screen (e.g., the accelerometer measures the acceleration of the screen when a user changes the orientation of the

screen from portrait to landscape). The processor has software that, under the control of a screen motion sensed by the sensor (e.g., when the accelerometer senses a screen motion such as when the screen's orientation is changed from portrait to landscape), imparts an acceleration based motion pattern to predetermined selected objects (e.g., the processor imparts an acceleration-based motion pattern that rotates the virtual keyboard from a portrait orientation to a landscape orientation). The motion is nonuniform in time under control of a static orientation of the screen (e.g., when the screen is held in a static landscape orientation after being rotated from a portrait orientation to a landscape orientation, the virtual keyboard rotates from the portrait orientation to the landscape orientation with a motion that is nonuniform in time).

- 100. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '797 Accused Gravitation-Controlled Motion Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 3.2 or higher) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook 7, Nextbook 8, Premium10SE, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, and Premium8SE ("the '797 Accused Gravitation-Controlled Motion Devices") and/or software updates that include the '797 Accused Gravitation-Controlled Motion Functionality.
- 101. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
  - 102. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '797 patent since at least

September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '797 patent directly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '797 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### Sixth Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,522,695

- 103. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 104. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '695 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.

- and is directed to a receiver that has receiving means for receiving a composite signal from a transmission medium and demultiplexing means for deriving at least one signal portion from the composite signal and for deriving a first identification signal of a first type and of a second type from the composite signal. The receiver has decoding means for decoding at least one signal portion. If a control signal is of a first type, the decoding means decodes a signal portion into a portion of a digital information signal and supplies the portion of the digital information and if a control signal is of a second type, the decoding means supplies a signal portion as a portion of the digital information signal in a substantially unmodified form. The receiver also has means for generating the control signal for application to the decoding means including a control signal of the first type depending on the first identification signal of the first type.
- 106. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '695 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claim 14 of the '695 patent.
- 107. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has audio decoding functionality similar to that summarized in the next paragraph ("the '695 Accused Audio Decoding Functionality") that meets every element of at least claim 14 of the '695 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 108. The YiFang Nextbook 8 runs the Android Operating System and ran Android Operating System version 4.4 when it was released (see, e.g.,

http://www.nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=19). The YiFang Nextbook 8 has audio hardware and/or software that recognize and support FLAC (Free Lossless Audio Codec) and that can process audio signals encoded in the FLAC format (see, e.g., http://developer.android.com/guide/appendix/media-formats.html, detailing that the Android Operating System version 3.1 or higher supports FLAC decoding). The YiFang Nextbook 8's audio hardware and/or software receiver receives a composite signal (e.g., a FLAC encoded audio signal) from a transmission medium (e.g., from memory or the Internet). The YiFang Nextbook 8's audio hardware and/or software demultiplexer demultiplexes the composite signal and derives at least one signal portion from the composite signal (e.g., the demultiplexer demultiplexes the FLAC signal and derives an audio subframe) and derives a first identification signal of a first type and of a second type from the composite signal (e.g., the demultiplexer derives from the FLAC signal a subframe type, a first identification signal, that indicates either an LPC subframe, a first type, or a verbatim subframe, a second type; see, e.g., https://xiph.org/flac/format.html). The YiFang Nextbook 8's audio hardware and/or software decoder decodes at least one signal portion (e.g., the decoder decodes at least one audio subframe). If a control signal is of a first type (e.g., a control signal indicates LPC encoded audio), the decoder decodes a signal portion into a portion of a digital information signal and supplies the portion of the digital information (e.g., the subframe is LPC decoded into a portion of a digital information signal and is supplied) and if a control signal is of a second type (e.g., a control signal indicates verbatim encoded audio), the decoder supplies a signal portion as a portion of the digital information signal in a substantially unmodified form (e.g., the subframe is supplied substantially unmodified as a portion of the digital information signal). The YiFang

Nextbook 8's audio hardware and/or software generates the control signal for application to the decoder including a control signal of the first type depending on the first identification signal of the first type (e.g., a control signal indicating LPC encoded audio, a first type, is generated for application to the decoder depending on the first identification signal indicating an LPC subframe, a first type).

- offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '695 Accused Audio Decoding Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 3.1 or higher that supports FLAC, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 10 or higher that supports FLAC; see, e.g., http://www.pcworld.com/article/2852595/audio-snobs-rejoice-windows-10-will-have-system-wide-flac-support.html and https://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/apps/hh986969.aspx) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A, Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook7, Nextbook8, Premium10SE, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, and Premium8SE ("the '695 Accused Audio Decoding Devices") and/or software updates that include the '695 Accused Audio Decoding Functionality.
- 110. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
  - 111. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '695 patent since at least

September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '695 patent directly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '695 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

#### Seventh Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE 44,006

- 112. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 113. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '006 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.

- and is directed toward an electronic device that has at least one display apparatus and a controller arranged to cause the display apparatus to show a rotating elliptical menu comprising a plurality of menu options. The menu is displayed with a perspective in which all of the menu options that are displayed appear to lie substantially in an elliptical arrangement located on a single apparent plane disposed about a menu center displayed offset from a display center of the display apparatus, so that at least one menu option appears to be rotatable off an edge of the display apparatus at any one time. A sense of perspective is maintained by changing the shape or size of the displayed menu options during rotation of the menu.
- 115. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '006 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claim 1 of the '006 patent.
- 116. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook Ares 11 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has rotating elliptical menu functionality similar to that summarized in the next paragraph ("the '006 Accused Rotating Elliptical Menu Functionality") that meets every element of at least claim 1 of the '006 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 117. The YiFang Nextbook Ares 11 runs the Android Operating System and ran Android Operating System version 5.0 when it was released (see, e.g., http://www.nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24). The YiFang Nextbook Ares 11

is an electronic device that has a display and a processor (e.g., the Intel Atom Z3735F) arranged to cause the display to show a rotating elliptical menu comprising a plurality of menu options (e.g., the recents screen found in Android Operating System version 5.0 or higher is a rotating elliptical menu of recently accessed activities and tasks; see, e.g.,

http://developer.android.com/about/versions/android-5.0.html#UI and

http://developer.android.com/guide/components/recents.html). The menu is displayed with a perspective in which all of the menu options that are displayed appear to lie substantially in an elliptical arrangement located on a single apparent plane disposed about a menu center (e.g., the recently accessed activities and tasks in the recents screen are displayed such that they appear to lie in an elliptical arrangement on a plane extending into the display disposed about a menu center) displayed offset from a display center of the display apparatus, so that at least one menu option appears to be rotatable off an edge of the display apparatus at any one time (e.g., the center of the recently accessed activities and tasks is offset from the display center and at least one recently accessed activity or task appears to be rotatable off of an edge of the display in response to a finger moving across the screen). A sense of perspective is maintained by changing the shape or size of the displayed menu options during rotation of the menu (e.g., recently accessed activities or tasks appear smaller or bigger as they rotate away or towards the user, respectively).

118. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '006 Accused Rotating Elliptical Menu Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 5.0 or higher) including, without

limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, and Nextbook Ares 8L ("the '006 Accused Rotating Elliptical Menu Devices") and/or software updates that include the '006 Accused Rotating Elliptical Menu Functionality.

- 119. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '006 patent since at least 120. September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '006 patent directly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '006 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

# Eighth Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,772,114

- 121. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 122. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '114 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.
- and is directed toward a receiver having a first decoder that receives a first coded signal with a low frequency range. The first decoder sequentially applies a narrow-band decoder, an upsampler, and a low-pass filter to the first coded signal to generate a first reconstructed signal within the low frequency range. The receiver has a second decoder that receives a second coded signal within a high frequency range that is higher than the low frequency range. Based on the second coded signal, the second decoder sequentially applies a high-pass filter, a LPC synthesis filter, and an amplifier to a noise signal to generate a second reconstructed signal within the high frequency range. The receiver also has a combiner for combining the first reconstructed signal and the second reconstructed signal.
- 124. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '114 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claim 20 of the '114 patent.

- 125. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has audio decoding functionality similar to that summarized in the next paragraph ("the '114 Accused Audio Decoding Functionality") that meets every element of at least claim 20 of the '114 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
- 126. The YiFang Nextbook 8 has hardware and/or software that support the Adaptive Multi-Rate Wideband (AMR-WB) Standard 3GPP TS 26.190 ("AMR-WB Standard") (see, e.g., http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi\_ts/126100\_126199/126190/07.00.00\_60/ts\_126190v070000p.pd f). AMR-WB is supported by the Android Operating System (see, e.g., http://developer.android.com/guide/appendix/media-formats.html) including, but not limited to, in a voice memo recording application or in an audio playback application. The YiFang Nextbook 8 audio hardware and/or software that support the AMR-WB Standard 3GPP TS 26.190 have a receiver with a first decoder that receives a first coded signal with a low frequency range (see, e.g., Figure 3 of the AMR-WB Standard). The first decoder sequentially applies a narrow-band decoder (see, e.g., Section 6.1 of the AMR-WB Standard describing several such decoders), an up-sampler (see, e.g., Section 6.2 of the AMR-WB Standard describing upsampling by 5), and a low-pass filter (see, e.g., Section 6.2 of the AMR-WB Standard describing low pass filtering through  $H_{decim}(z)$ ; see, e.g., Section 5.1 describing  $H_{decim}(z)$  as a low pass filter) to the first coded signal to generate a first reconstructed signal within the low frequency range. The receiver has a second decoder that receives a second coded signal within a high frequency range that is higher than the low frequency range (see, e.g., Section 6.3.1 of the AMR-WB Standard describing the received gain index in 23.85 kbit/s mode, which contains high frequency power information). Based on the second coded signal, the second decoder

sequentially applies a high-pass filter (see, e.g., Section 6.3.3 of the AMR-WB Standard describing high pass filtering through  $H_{HB}(z)$ , a filter which passes the relevant high frequency band), a LPC synthesis filter (see, e.g., Section 6.3.2.2 of the AMR-WB Standard describing synthesis filter  $A_{HB}(z)$ ), and an amplifier to a noise signal (see, e.g., Section 6.3.1 of the AMR-WB Standard describing amplifying white noise signal  $u_{HB1}(n)$ ) to generate a second reconstructed signal within the high frequency range. The receiver also has a combiner for combining the first reconstructed signal and the second reconstructed signal (see, e.g., Section 6.3.3 of the AMR-WB Standard).

- 127. Upon information and belief, Defendant makes, has made, uses, sells, and/or offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '114 Accused Audio Decoding Functionality (e.g., by being preloaded with the Android Operating System version 2.1 or higher that supports AMR-WB Standard 3GPP TS 26.190) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, e-fun MT8000, Next2, Next3, Next5, Next6, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook7, Nextbook8, Premium10SE, Premium7, Premium7 Resistive, Premium7HD, Premium7SE, Premium7SE with Google Play, Premium8, Premium8HD, Premium8Hi, Premium8SE, and Premium9 ("the '114 Accused Audio Decoding Devices") and/or software updates that include the '114 Accused Audio Decoding Functionality.
- 128. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 129. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '114 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should

have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '114 patent directly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '114 patent e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### Ninth Cause of Action: Infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE 43,564

- 130. Philips repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Second Amended Complaint, as though set forth here in its entirety.
- 131. Koninklijke Philips N.V. is the sole owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the '564 patent, including the right to sue and recover for any and all infringements thereof.
  - 132. Claim 1 of the '564 patent is illustrative of the device claims of the '564 patent

and is directed toward a handheld communication device having a wireless modem for receiving data, a display that has a substantially small size suitable for the handheld communication device, a data processing system connected to the modem and to the display for processing the received data and for rendering an image corresponding to the data received, and a touch screen for enabling a user to interact with the device. The system operates to enable the user to select, through a touch location on the touch screen, a portion of the image when it is displayed at a first scale. The selected portion is rendered on the display at a second scale larger than the first scale thereby facilitating a selection of a feature. The selected portion when rendered at the second scale is a zoomed-in version of part of the image at the first scale substantially centered around the touch location.

- 133. Claim 7 of the '564 patent depends from claim 1 and is directed toward the device of claim 1 wherein the data processing system is further operative to cause a window containing the selected portion displayed at the second scale to scroll across the image such that successive new selected portions of the image are displayed at the second scale.
- 134. Defendant has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe the '564 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, having made, using, selling and/or offering to sell within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, tablet computers that embody, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, each element of at least claims 1 and 7 of the '564 patent.
- 135. As one example, the YiFang Nextbook 8 is a tablet which runs the Android Operating System and which has zoom functionality similar to that summarized in the next two paragraphs ("the '564 Accused Zoom Functionality") that meets every element of at least claims

1 and 7 of the '564 patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

136. The YiFang Nextbook 8 runs the Android Operating System and ran Android Operating System version 4.4 when it was released (see, e.g.,

http://www.nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=19). The YiFang Nextbook 8 is a handheld communication device having a wireless modem for receiving data, a display that has a substantially small size suitable for the handheld communication device, a processor (e.g., the ARM Cortex A9) connected to the modem and to the display for processing the received data and for rendering an image corresponding to the data received (e.g., a rendered image of received website content), and a touch screen for enabling a user to interact with the device. The system operates to enable the user to select, through a touch location on the touch screen, a portion of the image when it is displayed at a first scale (e.g., the user selects, using a magnification gesture, a portion of the website when it is displayed at a first scale; see, e.g., https://support.google.com/accessibility/android/answer/6006949?hl=en and https://sites.google.com/a/blinddroid.org/blinddroid/training/magnification-gestures, detailing that the Android Operating System version 4.2 or higher supports magnification gestures). The selected portion is rendered on the display at a second scale larger than the first scale thereby facilitating a selection of a feature (e.g., the selected portion of the image is magnified to facilitate selection of a feature such as a link to a webpage). The selected portion when rendered at the second scale is a zoomed-in version of part of the image at the first scale substantially centered around the touch location (e.g., the magnified portion is substantially centered around the user's touch location).

137. Further, the data processing system is further operative to cause a window

containing the selected portion displayed at the second scale to scroll across the image such that successive new selected portions of the image are displayed at the second scale (e.g., a magnification window containing the selected portion that is magnified scrolls across the image such that successive new selected portions of the image are magnified).

- offers to sell within the United States and/or imports into the United States tablet computers that include the above-referenced '564 Accused Zoom Functionality (e.g., by being pre-loaded with the Android Operating System version 4.2 or higher, or the Microsoft Windows Operating System version 7 or higher; see, e.g., http://download.microsoft.com/download/7/e/4/7e4154ae-7ac2-4235-a2f1-3d25b1d161cd/win8\_accessibility\_tutorials.doc and https://www.microsoft.com/enable/products/windows7/) including, without limitation, the Nextbook Ares 8, Nextbook 10, Nextbook 10.1, Nextbook 8 QuadCore Windows 8.1 tablet, Nextbook Ares 10L, Nextbook Ares 11, Nextbook Ares 11A, Nextbook Ares 7, Nextbook Ares 8L, Nextbook Flexx 10, Nextbook Flexx 10A, Nextbook Flexx 11, Nextbook Flexx 11A, Nextbook Flexx 8, Nextbook Flexx 9, Nextbook7, and Nextbook8 ("the '564 Accused Zoom Devices") and/or software updates that include the '564 Accused Zoom Functionality.
- 139. By reason of Defendant's infringing activities, Plaintiffs have suffered, and will continue to suffer, substantial damages in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 140. Defendant has had actual notice and knowledge of the '564 patent since at least September 24, 2014 as explained above, and upon information and belief, has known or should have known that its activities outlined in this Cause of Action infringe the '564 patent directly. Philips has also provided Defendant with detailed infringement allegations in the Original

Complaint, First Amended Complaint, and in its Initial Infringement Contentions. Defendant has nonetheless continued to engage in and has escalated its infringing activities by developing, advertising, and selling additional infringing products since first becoming aware of its infringement of the '564 patent (e.g., the Nextbook Ares 11 was first sold by Defendant in March 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=24 and the Nextbook Ares 11A was first announced by Defendant in December 2015 and is currently still being advertised for sale on Defendant's website at http://nextbookusa.com/productdetail.php?product\_id=33 and https://www.walmart.com/ip/Nextbook-Ares-11-with-WiFi-11.6-Touchscreen-Tablet-PC-Featuring-Android-5.0-Lollipop-Operating-System-Black/51246491). Accordingly, Defendant's misconduct is willful and egregious and beyond typical infringement, and this case is exceptional under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

### **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court to enter judgment as follows:

- A. That Defendant has directly infringed, indirectly infringed, induced others to infringe, and contributed to the infringement of the patents-in-suit;
- B. That Defendant be ordered to pay damages adequate to compensate Plaintiffs for Defendant's infringement of the patents-in-suit, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest thereon;
- C. That Defendant be ordered to account for post-verdict infringement and pay no less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest, thereon;
  - D. That Defendant's infringement is deliberate and willful and that Defendant be

ordered to pay treble damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- E. That this is an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Plaintiffs be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses; and
- F. That Plaintiffs be granted such other and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper.

### Jury Demand

Plaintiffs hereby demand a jury trial as to all issues so triable.

Dated: November 23, 2016 MCCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Of Counsel:

Michael P. Sandonato
John D. Carlin
Jonathan M. Sharret
Daniel A. Apgar
Robert S. Pickens
FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER &
SCINTO
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104-3800
Tel: (212) 218-2100
Fax: (212) 218-2200
msandonato@fchs.com
jcarlin@fchs.com
jsharret@fchs.com
dapgar@fchs.com

/s/ Daniel M. Silver Michael P. Kelly (#2295)

Daniel M. Silver (#4758)

Benjamin A. Smyth (#5528)

Renaissance Centre

405 N. King Street, 8th Floor

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

(302) 984-6300

mkelly@mccarter.com

dsilver@mccarter.com

bsmyth@mccarter.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

rpickens@fchs.com